

**In the High Court for the States of Punjab and Haryana
At Chandigarh**

CRM-M-9488-2019 (O&M)

Date of Decision:- 5.3.2019

Narinderpal Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Ripudaman Singh Sidhu, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Ruchika Sabherwal, AAG, Punjab.

GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J. (Oral)

1. Petitioner-Narinderpal Singh seeks grant of anticipatory bail in respect of a case registered against him vide FIR No.16, dated 2.2.2019, registered at Police Station Sadar Rupnagar, District Rupnagar, under Section 306 IPC.
2. At this stage, Mr. Jasdeep Singh, Advocate has appeared on behalf of the complainant and filed his power of attorney. The same is taken on record.
3. The FIR was lodged at the instance of Baljit Kaur wherein it has been alleged that on 1.2.2019, her husband had dropped her at bus stand but she noticed that he was very upset as she had been noticing earlier that

he was in tension and depression, since the last 2-3 days. It is alleged that she received a call from her mother-in-law at about 12.30/1 P.M. that Charanjeet Singh was no where found. Upon receiving the said call, the complainant immediately rushed home and searched for her husband and found that his dead body was hanging from a fan in a store adjoining cattle-shed. It is further alleged that a suicide note was found from an almirah which was to the following effect:

“I, Charanjit Singh son of Joginder Singh state that Narinderpal Singh son of Mohinder Singh is responsible for my death. He cheated me for money and due to that I am under obligation of ₹50-60 lacs. Now he has refused to repay the amount alongwith interest. He is residing in Suncity. He is running Commission agent business. Sd/-Charanjit Singh. He has mentally tortured me. Sd/- Charanjit Singh. ”

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that although the petitioner was earlier a partner in the firm of the deceased but the said partnership firm stood dissolved in the year 2017 and the deceased however, had suffered some loss and used to remain under depression and that he has been falsely implicated in the present case.
5. Learned counsel for the complainant has opposed the petition and has submitted that since the petitioner is specifically named in the suicide note, no case for grant of anticipatory bail is made out.
6. Learned State counsel has informed that during the course of investigation, the petitioner has been found innocent and the Inquiry

Report submitted by SHO has been approved by the Senior Superintendent of Police and that a cancellation report shall be filed shortly.

7. Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case and that the police during investigation has found the petitioner innocent, the petition, as such is accepted. In the event of arrest, the petitioner be released on bail subject to his furnishing personal bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and cooperate with the Investigating Officer and shall also abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

March 5, 2019

mohan

(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking /reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable

Yes / No