

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

RSA No.573 of 1989
Date of decision:01.04.2019

Tido ... Appellant
Vs.

Sarli and another ... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present:- None for the appellant.

Mr. Umesh Aggarwal, Advocate
for the appellant.

AMIT RAWAL J. (Oral)

This Court, on 29.01.2019 passed the following order:-

“The appellants were being represented by Mr. R.A.Yadav, Advocate and he has not been appearing since 1993. Today also, there is no representation on behalf of the appellant.

This Court on 31.10.2014 directed the Registry to notify the date of hearing to the counsel representing the parties.

As per office report, letters have been sent to them. Thereafter, there is no representation on behalf of the appellant.

Let notice be sent to the appellant at the address given in the memo of parties for 11.03.2019 in order to make arrangement.”

As per office report, notice issued to the appellant has been received back unserved with the report that “appellant has died”.

It seems that legal representatives of appellant are not interested in pursuing the matter.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

April 01, 2019
savita

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No