

COCN No. 876 of 2019  
DECIDED ON: JULY 16, 2019

JOGINDER SINGH (DECEASED) THRU LR TEJINDER KAUR

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

KRISHAN KUMAR AND ORS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN.

Present: Mr. Puran Chand Arora, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kanisth Ganeriwala, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. H.S. Jugait, Advocate  
for respondent No.5.

\*\*\*\*\*

**AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (ORAL)**

The present contempt petition has been filed pleading willful disobedience of the order dated 23.08.2018 passed by this Court in LPA No. 1095 of 2015. The operational part of the order is reproduced below:-

*“For the reasons afore-stated, the appeal is allowed; the order of learned Single Judge is set aside and the writ petition is accepted. It is directed that the pension of the appellant be re-fixed after counting the ‘qualifying service’ from 28.02.1979 till he retired from service. The arrears of pension shall be paid to the appellant within a period of four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order but without any interest.”*

A short reply filed by way of an affidavit of Inderjit Singh, Director of Public Instructions (Elementary Education), Punjab on behalf of respondent No.2 is taken on record.

Respondent No.5 has filed a short reply by way of an affidavit of Faisal Imam, Accountant General, Punjab. The same are taken on record.

Learned State counsel submits that the pension of the petitioner has been revised from ₹8021 to ₹10066/-. The arrears on account of this re-fixation has been sent to the concerned pension bank and the same would be released on completion of certain formalities by the legal heirs of the petitioner-Joginder Singh.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that no calculation has been provided by the respondents with regard to the arrears.

At this stage learned State counsel states that if he approaches the concerned DDO, the said calculation would be provided to the petitioner within one week thereafter.

In view of above, nothing survives in the present contempt petition.

Disposed of as infructuous.

Rule issued against the respondents stands discharged.

The petitioner would be at liberty to avail remedies in accordance with law in case there is any grievance with regard to the calculations.

**JULY 16, 2019**  
**sham**

**(AVNEESH JHINGAN)**  
**JUDGE**

***Whether speaking/reasoned***      ***Yes/No***  
***Whether reportable***              ***Yes/No***