

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

Crl. Misc. No.M-10251 of 2019

Date of Decision: 01.11.2019

Butta Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. K.S. Dargan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner went to join investigation but he was not allowed to do so, whereas, learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Vinay Kumar, submits that the petitioner never joined investigation in spite of giving opportunity to him.

It was specifically mentioned in the last order that the petitioner did not join investigation and the interim order passed in his favour has already been vacated.

In view of submissions made by learned counsel for the parties and on perusal of order dated 13.09.2019, it appears that the interim order has already been vacated and in spite of directions issued by this Court, the petitioner has not joined investigation.

Accordingly, by considering the conduct of the petitioner and moreover, this is the third bail application, no ground is made out to continue with the petition and the same is hereby dismissed.

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

01.11.2019

gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No