

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCN No. 825 of 2019

Date of Decision: 09.09.2019

Jai Narain

.....Petitioner

Versus

Anurag Agarwal and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Sandeep Thakan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashish Yadav, Advocate
for the respondent.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J.(oral)

This contempt petition has been filed pleading wilful disobedience of order dated 21.11.2018 passed in CWP No. 3545 of 2018.

The operational part of the order is reproduced below:

"The present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to implement the order dated 14.03.2018, which is taken on record as Annexure A, within a period of three months from the date when the respondents received the certified copy of this order.

Counsel for the respondents states that in case there is any impediment in the release, they be given liberty to approach this Court by way of appropriate proceedings including filing an application in the present case.

The writ petition stands disposed of."

Reply by way of affidavit of Mohd. Muzammil, the then Executive Engineer, T.S. Division, Haryana Vidyut Prasaran Nigam Limited, Bhiwani, has been filed today in Court and same is taken on record. Copy supplied to counsel for the petitioner.

Alongwith reply, two orders dated 2.1.2018 and 13.8.2019 have been annexed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that in view of the reply, no cause of action survives for pursuing the present contempt petition, however, he seeks liberty to avail remedy in accordance with law against the orders dated 2.1.2018 and 13.8.2019.

In view of the above, the contempt petition is disposed of as infructuous with liberty as prayed for.

Rule issued against the respondents stands discharged.

09.09.2019
reema

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No