

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-5669-2019

Date of decision:1.3.2019

M/s Anant Ki Aur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr.Ashok Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for quashing the letter/ order dated 20.8.2018 (Annexure P-6) issued by respondent No.2, whereby the work order to maintain the herbal park has been cancelled by the respondents without affording any opportunity of hearing to the petitioner.

Heard.

The Court is not inclined to issue notice of motion at this stage.

A complete set of paper book has been handed over to Mr.Vikrant Pamboo,DAG, Haryana in the Court today.

Without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed with a direction that in case, the petitioner moves a representation before the Commissioner of respondent No.2- Municipal Corporation, Sonapat within two weeks from today to state its grouses and on consideration, the Commissioner, Municipal Coroporation, Sonapat

-respondent No.2 reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to it, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed, within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of the said representation. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

1.3.2019
gsv

[**JITENDRA CHAUHAN**]
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned?	Yes	/	No
Whether reportable?	Yes	/	No