

(569) IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.3822 of 1998 (O&M)

Date of decision: 18.07.2019

Maha Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.... Respondents

Before: Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia.

Present : Mr. I.D. Singla, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

B.S. WALIA, J. (ORAL)

[1] Learned counsel for the petitioner states that due to afflux of time, the writ petition has been rendered infructuous and therefore, may be disposed of as such.

[2] Learned Sr. DAG states that he has no objection to the aforementioned submission of learned counsel for the petitioner.

[3] In view of the position as noted above, writ petition is disposed of as having become infructuous.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

18.07.2019
amit

1. Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No.
2. Whether reportable : Yes/No.