

243

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

COCP-819-2019

Date of decision: 02.09.2019

Mukesh Kumar-ALM

.. Petitioner

Versus

Karan Avtar Singh and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

**Present:** Mr. R.K.Dadwal, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kanisth Ganeriwala, AAG, Punjab.

Ms. Anu Chatrath, Senior Advocate with  
Mr. Nishant Maini, Advocate  
for respondent Nos.1 and 2.

Mr. Sarthak Gupta, Advocate  
for respondent No.3.

Mr. H.S.Jugait, Advocate  
for respondent No.4.

\*\*\*\*

**AVNEESH JHINGAN, J. (Oral)**

The present contempt petition has been filed alleging wilful disobedience of the order dated 06.07.2018 passed by this Court in CWP No. 16215 of 2018. The operative part of the order is reproduced below:

“Accordingly, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent(s) to consider legal notice (P-3) and to take a conscious decision within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

However, if petitioner still feels aggrieved by any of the orders passed by the aforesaid authority concerned, he shall be

at liberty to have recourse to the remedies available under law as well as to approach this Court.”

Learned counsel for respondent Nos.1 and 2 has filed today in Court, reply by way of affidavit of Sh. Harjit Singh, Engineer-in-Chief, Hydel Projects, Punjab State Power Corporation Limited, Patiala dated 02.09.2019, annexing order dated 26.07.2019 and same is taken on record. A copy of the same is handed over to the learned counsel for the petitioner.

Learned counsel for petitioner submits that pursuant to the reply, no cause of action survives for pursuing the present contempt petition. However, he states that no detail of calculations has been provided and the claim for interest has been rejected.

Learned counsel for respondents No.1 and 2 states that the calculation would be provided to the petitioner within two weeks from today. It is submitted that in reply itself, it has been stated that in case of any grievance with regard to the calculation, the petitioner may approach the respondents and needful be done by passing a speaking order after providing an opportunity of hearing.

The contempt petition is disposed of. The petitioner would be at liberty to avail remedies in accordance with law for redressal of his grievances against order dated 26.07.2019.

Rule issued against the respondents is discharged.

**02.09.2019**

hemlata

**(AVNEESH JHINGAN)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No