

116 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-9566-2019

Date of decision-01.03.2019

Sanjogita and another

....Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Akashdeep Batra, Advocate for the petitioners.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.

Petitioners have filed this petition under Section 482 of Code of Criminal Procedure for issuance of directions to respondent Nos.2 to 4 for protection of their life and liberty on the ground that as they are major and are living together.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has contended that the petitioners are in love relationship with each other since the year 2016 and the parents of petitioner No.1 i.e. respondent Nos.5 and 6 have threatened petitioner No.1, in case she continues with the relationship with petitioner No.2.

It is conceded by learned counsel for the petitioners that petitioner No.2 is already married to someone and the proceedings regarding their divorce are still pending before the Court at Ambala.

The petition further does not disclose any manner or mode of threat allegedly extended by the private respondents and simply because

parents are opposed to the relationship of petitioner No.1 which is a extra marital relationship as far as petitioner No.2 is concerned and therefore, such an opposition cannot be treated as a threat.

Learned counsel for the petitioners placed reliance on the judgments passed by this Court in CRM-M-7333-2019, titled as “***Premvati and another Vs. State of U.T Chandigarh and others***” and another judgment passed in CRM-M-45700-2018, titled as “***Maan Singh and another Vs. State of Punjab and others***” which apparently are not applicable in the facts and circumstances of the present case as there is nothing to indicate that any of the parties in those petitions was already married and proceeded to indulge into live-in-relationship with the other petitioner during the subsistence of marriage.

After hearing learned counsel for the petitioners and perusing the contents of the petition, this Court is of the opinion that there is no ground to issue any kind of directions as the petition lacks necessary particulars regarding source and mode of threat.

Consequently, petition is dismissed.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

01.03.2019

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No