

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

1. CWP No.5211 of 2000 (O&M)

Balwant Singh ... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Haryana and others ... Respondents

2. CWP No.17979 of 2000(O&M)

Nagender Pal Singh ... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Haryana and others ... Respondents

3. CWP No.1205 of 2006 (O&M)

Surender Singh Chauhan ... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Haryana and others ... Respondents

Date of Decision: 21.1.2019

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr.Ravi Verma, Advocate and
Mr.Vivek Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner(s)

Ms.Shruti Jain Goyal, DAG, Haryana

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (Oral)

This order will dispose of CWP Nos.5211, 17979 of 2000 and 1205 of 2006 as common questions of law and facts are involved in these petitions.

It is past cavil that *ad hoc* service will not be counted towards seniority. *Ad hoc* appointment through Employment Exchange is

not sufficient compliance of Article 16 (1) of the Constitution of India. Besides, third party rights have been settled from time to time which are not open to be disturbed as they are not parties to these cases. In similar circumstances, I have already taken a decision in CWP No.6305 of 2009 (Parmod Kumar and others vs. State of Haryana and others alongwith 100 connected cases decided on 17.9.2018). The entire law on the subject as was cited by both the sides, has been noticed and discussed.

In view of the above, I find no merit in these petitions which are accordingly dismissed.

21.1.2019
MFK

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No