

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-15870-2018 (O&M)
Date of decision: 31.01.2019**

Sanjeet Kumar and others

... Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. Neeraj Sheoran, Advocate and
Mr. Rajbir Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Naveen Sheoran, DAG, Haryana.

Ms. Sonia Rani, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (ORAL)

CRM-2946 & 2948-2019

For the reasons stated in the applications, same are allowed. Copy of compromise deed dated 18.01.2019 and affidavit of respondent No.2-complainant Rohit Agarwal are taken on record Annexures P-3 and P-4 respectively and exemption from filing certified copies thereof is granted.

CRMs stand disposed of.

CRM-M-15870-2018

Prayer in this petition is for quashing of FIR No.375 dated 01.11.2017 under Section 420 of the Indian Penal Code (for short 'IPC'),

registered at Police Station Sector-56, Gurugram and all the subsequent proceedings arising therefrom.

During pendency of the present petition, the parties have amicably settled the dispute.

Respondent No.2-complainant is present in the Court and the case was referred to the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court for recording the statement of the parties.

Since ASI Sandeep Kumar, Police Station Cyber Crime, Gurugram is present in the Court, he was also directed to appear before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court for identifying the complainant.

The Mediator has submitted a report that the parties have entered into a settlement/agreement, which is duly signed by learned counsel for the petitioners, on behalf of the petitioners and complainant-respondent No.2 Rohit Agarwal, who is identified by aforesaid ASI Sandeep Kumar.

It is not disputed by the parties that except the complainant, there is no other victim in the case. However, ASI Sandeep Kumar, has submitted that there is one more victim namely Ram Raksh Pal Sharma, who has stated that he will register an FIR at Jaipur.

In reply, learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners have already settled the dispute with the said victim.

A photocopy of the compromise dated 01.06.2018 effected between the petitioners and said Ram Raksh Pal Sharma is taken on record.

Since the parties have settled their dispute and have decided to live in peace, no useful purpose would be served in allowing the criminal

proceedings to continue.

In view of what has been discussed hereinabove, present petition is allowed and FIR No.375 dated 01.11.2017 under Section 420 IPC, registered at Police Station Sector-56, Gurugram and all the subsequent proceedings arising therefrom are ordered to be quashed qua the petitioners.

[ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN]
JUDGE

31.01.2019
vishnu

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No