

COCN No. 849 of 2019
DECIDED ON: JULY 16, 2019

DHARAMPAL

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

KARNESH SHARMA AND ANOTHER

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN.

Present: Mr. Akshay Sandhir, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Aditya Sharda, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Sanjeev Soni, Advocate
for the respondent No.2

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (ORAL)

The present contempt petition has been filed pleading willful disobedience of the order dated 07.08.2018 passed by this Court in CWP No. 10629 of 2018. The operational part of the order is reproduced below:-

“4. Accordingly, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent(s) to consider the case unfolded by the petitioner in legal notice (P-3) and to take a conscious decision within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. If there is no impediment, to calculate and disburse the benefits accrued to the petitioner on account of his retirement within a period of next 45 days.

5. The respondents are also directed to consider the case of the petitioner for the grant of interest on delayed payment in the light of Punjab Govt. Instructions No.1/15/90IFPIII/4226, dated 10.05.1990.

6. *However, if petitioner still feels aggrieved by any order of the aforesaid authority, he shall be at liberty to approach this Court or to have recourse to the other remedies available under law.”*

Reply filed by way of an affidavit of Komal Mittal, IAS, Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Amritsar. Copy of same has been supplied to the counsel for the petitioner.

In view of reply submitted, no cause of action survives in the present contempt petition.

Disposed of as infructuous.

Rule issued against the respondents stands discharged.

Needless to say that petitioner would be at liberty to avail remedies in accordance with law in case still something survives.

JULY 16, 2019
sham

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>