

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

201

CRM-M No. 9182 of 2019 (O & M)

Date of decision : 14.11.2019

Suresh Kumar

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

Coram: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT

Present : Mr. Lekh Raj Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner

Mr. Vikas Malik, DAG, Haryana

Rajbir Sehrawat, J. (Oral)

CRM No. 33713 of 2019 :

Since the main petition itself is being decided, therefore, the application is rendered infructuous.

CRM-M No. 9182 of 2019 :

The present petition has been filed by the petitioner under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No. 28 dated 28.1.2019, registered under Sections 420, 467, 468 and 471 IPC at Police Station Ladwa, District Kurukshetra.

It has been pointed out by learned counsel for the petitioner that it has come in the police record only that the then proprietor of M/s Shanti Nath Traders, Delhi, has since expired. It is further submitted that the petitioner had accompanied the investigating officer to the address of M/s Shanti Nath Traders, Delhi. However, the police could not find anything adverse to the petitioner even during that visit.

On the other hand, learned counsel for the State, being instructed by SI Vijay Kumar, submits that investigating officer is not in a position to deny that the earlier investigating officer had accompanied the petitioner to Delhi. It is also not denied that it has come in police zimmies that the proprietor of M/s Shanti Nath Traders, Delhi, has expired.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has also submitted that the petitioner has joined the investigation.

Learned State counsel has not disputed the fact regarding the petitioner's joining the investigation.

In view of the above, the order dated 30.4.2019 passed by this Court, is made absolute.

Disposed of.

(RAJBIR SEHRAWAT)
JUDGE

14.11.2019

Ashwani

Speaking/Reasoned	:	Yes/No
Reportable	:	Yes/No