

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

105

Crl. Misc. No.M- 9196 of 2019

Date of Decision:- 28.02.2019

Nirmal Singh

....Petitioner

VS.

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present:- Mr. H.S. Brar, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in FIR No.151 dated 4.10.2018 registered at Police Station Sadar Phagwara, District Kapurthala, under Sections 323, 452, 427, 380, 148, 149 IPC.

The allegations in the FIR are that the petitioner along with co-accused entered into the residence of the complainant and beat up him and two other persons. They stole money lying in the almirah and some other articles from the house.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is a man of means. He is in the business of providing luxury cars for marriage parties and he has been falsely implicated only because he had given a complaint to the transport authorities against the employer of the complainant. The petitioner is alleged to have given a simple injury only and, thus, his custodial interrogation is not necessary.

The nature of the injury caused by the petitioner is not really relevant in this case. The main allegation is that he along with the co-accused forcibly entered into the residential house of the complainant and stole money and other articles

therefrom under threat of unlawful confinement and physical assault. This is a serious offence and, to my mind, the petitioner does not deserve any sympathy.

The petition is without merit and is accordingly dismissed.

February 28, 2019
poonam

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	No