

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.5821 of 2019
DATE OF DECISION : 5th MARCH, 2019

Ravinder & another **.... Petitioners**
Versus

State of Haryana and others **.... Respondents**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A. B. CHAUDHARI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

* * * *

Present : Mr. Rajesh Bansal, Advocate for the petitioners.

* * * *

A. B. CHAUDHARI, J. (Oral)

Rule.

Mrs. Tanisha Peshawaria, DAG Haryana waived service on behalf of the State.

Heard forthwith with consent of counsel for the rival parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioners fairly makes a statement that before asking for the writ of mandamus from this Court in this writ petition, the petitioners have not approached the competent authority for redressal of their grievance.

In our opinion, before seeking the writ of mandamus from this Court, the petitioners ought to have exhausted the remedy of approaching the appropriate/competent authority for redressal of their grievance. Be that as it may, the learned counsel for the petitioners makes a prayer that now the petitioners be allowed to make a representation to the competent authority in the subject matter of the present writ petition.

In that view of the matter we are inclined to dispose of the present writ petition reserving liberty in favour of the petitioners to file a

comprehensive representation before the appropriate/competent authority. If the same is filed by the petitioner within fifteen days, the same shall be disposed of by appropriate authority/competent authority, in accordance with law, within a period of three months, after hearing the petitioner if necessary.

(A. B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

5th MARCH, 2019
‘raj’

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned:</i>	<i>Yes</i>	<i>No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable:</i>	<i>Yes</i>	<i>No</i>