

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

RSA No.24 of 1992 (O&M)
Date of decision:01.04.2019

Avtar Singh and others ... Appellants

Vs.

Jal Kaur and another ... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present:- None for the appellants.

Mr. V.K.Mahajan, Advocate
for the respondents.

AMIT RAWAL J. (Oral)

On 14.02.2019, notices have been issued to the appellants.

As per office report, notice issued to appellant no.1 has been received back unserved with the report that “he is residing abroad since many years”. Appellant no.2 did not met at the time of service, whereas, appellant no.4 served but there is no representation on his behalf. Appellants no.3, 5, 6 and 7 have died. However, no effort has been made to bring on record their legal representatives.

It appears that appellants are not interested in pursuing the matter and must have reconciled with the factual aspect.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

April 01, 2019

savita

Whether Speaking/Reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No