

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

207

CRM-M-15379-2018(O & M)
Date of Decision: 03.04.2019

VISHAL

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Prabhjeet Singh Sullar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. D.R. Singla, DAG, Haryana.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.161 dated 08.05.2016, under Sections 302/34, 148, 149 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code ('IPC' - for short) and Sections 25/54/59 of the Arms Act, registered at Police Station Civil Line, District Sonipat.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Vijender Singh submits that 20 prosecution witnesses have been examined, two other prosecution witnesses have been examined in chief and they are yet to be cross-examined and one witness remains unexamined. The matter is now listed before the trial Court on 15.04.2019. He further contends that every effort shall be made to conclude the trial as expeditiously as possible.

In view of the statement of the learned State counsel, learned counsel for the petitioner does not press the present petition at this stage. However, he states that the trial be ordered to be expedited.

The petition is dismissed at this stage. However, the trial Court is directed to expedite the trial as the petitioner is stated to be in custody for over three years.

In the event of the trial being unduly delayed, the petitioner shall be at liberty to file an application for regular bail.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

April 03, 2019

A.Kaundal

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No