

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 5503 of 2019

Date of Decision : 28.02.2019

Kusham Lata

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI*

Present: Mr. Siddharth Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J.(Oral)

In the present writ petition, the grievance which has been raised by the petitioner is that he is entitled for interest on the delayed release of the General Provident Fund.

As per the averments made in the writ petition, the petitioner retired on 31.07.2018, whereas the said amount was paid to the petitioner on 09.01.2019.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that for the relief, which has been claimed in the present writ petition, the petitioner has made representation dated 17.01.2019 (Annexure P-3) to the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied in case a time bound direction is given to the respondents to decide the representation by passing a speaking order.

Without going into the merits of the case or expressing any opinion to the entitlement of the petitioner in respect of the relief claimed in

the present writ petition or in the representation, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to pass an appropriate speaking order on the claim raised by the petitioner in the representation dated 17.01.2019 (Annexure P-3) within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

In case after the order, it is found by the respondents that the petitioner is entitled for any monetary benefits, the same should also be released to him within a period of next three months.

The writ petition stands disposed of.

February 28, 2019
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned? *Yes/No*
Whether reportable? *Yes/No*