

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-9289 of 2019

Date of Decision: February 28, 2019

Varinder Singh

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Nitin Sachdeva, Advocate
for the petitioner

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The grievance of the petitioner is that respondent No. 5 has availed the benefit of Government scheme, even though he was not qualified for the said benefit. A representation dated 23.01.2019 (Annexure P-2) has been submitted in this regard but the police is not taking any action thereupon.

Notice of motion to respondents No. 1 to 4 only.

Mr. Kirat Singh Sidhu, DAG Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents. Let five copies of the petition be supplied to him during the course of the day.

Considering the nature of the order being passed, there is no necessity to seek reply.

The petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No. 3 to objectively consider the representation dated 23.01.2019 (Annexure P-2) and to take appropriate action thereupon in accordance with law, in case it is felt necessary, within a period of twelve weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

February 28, 2019

reena

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No**Whether Reportable : Yes/No**