

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No. 9542 of 2019
Decided on: 24.09.2019**

Rahul Sabhrwal

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present : Mr. H.S. Thiara, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Prashant Sethi, Advocate for the complainant.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.217 dated 21.12.2018, registered under Sections 384, 341, 506, 34 IPC at Police Station Division No.4, District Jalandhar.

The operative part of the order dated 27.03.2019, vide which interim anticipatory bail has been granted to the petitioner, is reproduced as under:-

“...Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the allegations made in the FIR are false. From the affidavit of Jyoti Mehta @ Mahi, it becomes evident that the complainant had borrowed some money from her and because the same was not being repaid, the petitioner intervened and got the matter settled. The complainant was to pay money in installments through the petitioner and, thus, money was transferred from the account of the complainant to the account of the petitioner.

Notice of motion for 4.7.2019....”

Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that, in pursuance to the order dated 27.03.2019, the petitioner has appeared before the Investigating Officer and has joined the investigation.

Counsel for the State, on instructions from HC Jagtar Singh, has not disputed the aforesaid fact and submits that the petitioner is no more required for further investigation.

In view of the above, this petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 27.03.2019 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

24.09.2019
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No