

231

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**COCP No.815 of 2019
Date of Decision: 10.07.2019**

Shri Ram

Petitioner

Versus

Vikas Gupta, Chief Administrator, HUDA, Panchkula and another

Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Vivek Singla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Anil Chawla, Advocate
for the respondents.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

The present contempt petition has been filed pleading wilful disobedience of order dated 11.10.2013 passed by this Court in CWP No.13350 of 1994. Operational part of the order is reproduced as under:-

“28. Therefore, this petition is disposed of with a direction that, if the petitioner makes an application to respondent No.3, within a period of 30 days from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order, with regard to information on the value of the property as fixed by the competent authority as per rules, in the year 2011, he would be intimated such price within 30 days thereafter; and if he pays such price within another

period of 30 days, from the date of receipt of the letter intimating such price, the plot in question would be allotted to him, on normal terms and conditions, thereafter.”

The order was challenged by the petitioner in Special Leave Petition (SLP), same was dismissed on 05.07.2017. However, petitioner was given another opportunity to comply with the direction of the High Court within four weeks.

Learned counsel for the respondents has filed reply today in the Court, same is taken on record. Copy of the reply is handed over to learned counsel for the petitioner. In the reply, it has been stated that an amount of ₹19,73,400/- was demanded from the petitioner but the same has not been deposited.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that requisite amount would be deposited within four weeks from today.

Let amount be deposited as per statement made.

From perusal of the reply, it is evident that respondents are ready to allot the plot as and when petitioner deposit the amount. Relevant paragraph of the reply is quoted below:-

“6. That it is further submitted that the amount has been calculated with respect to plot No.401, Sector-8, Part-II Karnal, which comes to Rs.19,73,400/- and the same was demanded from the petitioner vide letter No.2153 dated 24.05.2019 but the same has not deposit till today. As and when the petitioner will deposit the demanded amount then the plot in question will be allotted accordingly. A copy of the email dated 17.05.2019 and letter dated 24.05.2019 are attached as

Annexure R-1 and Annexure R-2 respectively.”

In view of reply filed, the present contempt petition is disposed of as infructuous.

The rule issued against the respondents is discharged.

**[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE**

July 10, 2019

pankaj baweja

- | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|-----|
| 1. Whether speaking/ reasoned | : | Yes |
| 2. Whether reportable | : | No |