

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-8837-2019

Date of decision: 29.08.2019

Des Raj Rattu

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. B.S. Bali, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

Complainant Jyoti Rani in person.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.06 dated 24.10.2018 under Sections 406, 34 IPC, registered at Police Station NRI, Amritsar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as per allegations in the FIR, registered at the instance of complainant Jyoti Rani, she was married to one Raghbir Rattu. On 25.04.2016, both of them travelled to Italy, however, they returned back and her husband left India. It is further submitted that the documents of the complainant pertaining to citizenship/PR of Italy are in possession of the petitioner, as he is real uncle of husband of the complainant.

On 03.05.2019, learned State counsel has stated that though the

petitioner has joined the investigation, however, he has not returned the documents like citizenship/PR of Italy and the petitioner was again directed to join the investigation. Again on 30.05.2019, one last opportunity was granted to the petitioner to cooperate in the investigation and return back the documents. Thereafter, the case was again adjourned to 30.07.2019.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Baljeet Singh, submits that the petitioner has not returned back the aforesaid documents to the complainant.

In reply, learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in fact, it is a dispute between husband and wife.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties, considering the serious allegations levelled against the petitioner and other accused and also in view of the fact that despite granting three opportunities to the petitioner to join the investigation and do the needful, he has failed to hand over the documents to the complainant, I do not find any ground to extend the concession of anticipatory bail.

Dismissed.

[ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN]
JUDGE

29.08.2019
vishnu

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No