

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.8858 of 2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 19.03.2019**

Sachin Kumar

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present:- Mr. Pankaj Jain, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Yashwinder Singh, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana
for the respondent/State.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J. (Oral)

Present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.27 dated 01.02.2019, under Sections 323, 34, 452 and 506 of the Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Kosli, Rewari.

This Court, on the previous date of hearing i.e. 12.03.2019, passed the following order:-

“ Copy of the MLR has been shown by learned State Counsel and perusal of the same reveals that all alleged injuries, suffered by Jitender, are simple in nature.

Let the legible copy of the MLR be placed on record.

Adjourned to 19.03.2019 for further consideration.

In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation before the Investigating Officer. In the event of his arrest, the Arresting Officer would admit him to interim bail in the present case till the next date of hearing on his furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to his satisfaction. The petitioner is also directed to abide by all the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. ”

It is contended by learned Counsel for the petitioner that in pursuance of the above order, petitioner has joined the investigation and his custodial interrogation is no longer required.

The aforesaid factual position is duly acknowledged by learned State Counsel, on instructions from ASI Rajesh.

In view of above, interim order dated 12.03.2019 is made absolute subject to the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. and the present petition stands disposed off.

It is made clear that the petitioner shall fully co-operate with the Investigating Officer as and when called for further investigation.

The observations may not be considered as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

March 19, 2019

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

Gagan

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No