

208

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-8849 of 2019

Date of decision: November 16, 2019

Akashdeep Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. V.G. Jauhar, Senior DAG Punjab.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J

Present petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short 'Cr. P.C.') for grant of anticipatory bail to petitioner, in FIR No.44 dated 18.02.2018, under Sections 406, 420 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short 'IPC'), registered at Police Station Kartarpur, District Jalandhar.

Mr. Satish Kumar, Advocate appears, files Power of Attorney on behalf of the complainant and the same is taken on record.

On 27.02.2019, while issuing notice of motion, this Court has passed the following order:-

“Contends that although the petitioner has already returned an amount of ₹50,000/- to the complainant, but ₹3000/- is still remaining with him and the same shall also be paid to the complainant through Investigating Officer of the case by way of demand draft within one week from today.

Notice of motion for 28.03.2019.

In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation before the Investigating Officer. In the event of his arrest, the Arresting Officer would admit him to interim bail in the present case till the next date of hearing on his furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to his satisfaction. The petitioner is also directed to abide by all the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned State counsel, on instructions from police official present in Court to assist him, has stated that in terms of order dated 27.02.2019, the petitioner has joined investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required.

In view of the above, order dated 27.02.2019 granting interim bail to the petitioner, is made absolute, subject to the provisions of Section 438(2) Cr. P.C.

Petition stands disposed off, accordingly.

However, it is made clear that the petitioner shall fully co-operate with the Investigating Officer as and when called for further investigation.

The above observations may not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

November 16, 2019
mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No