

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-9104 of 2019

Date of Decision: February 28, 2019

Amandeep Singh

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. J.S. Dadwal, Advocate
for the petitioner

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The petitioner alleges that he is being harassed by the officials of C.I.A. Staff, Patiala, at the behest of certain persons who want to usurp his prime agricultural property. Although a representation dated 20.11.2018 (Annexure P-1) has been submitted but no action has been taken thereupon till date.

Notice of motion to respondents No. 1 and 2 only.

Mr. Kirat Singh Sidhu, DAG Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents. Let three copies of the petition be supplied to him during the course of the day.

Considering the nature of the order being passed, there is no necessity to seek reply.

The petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No. 2 to objectively consider the representation dated 20.11.2018 (Annexure P-1) and to take appropriate action thereupon in accordance with law, in case it is felt necessary, within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

February 28, 2019

reena

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No**Whether Reportable : Yes/No**