

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(136)

CWP-6389-2019

Date of Decision: March 08, 2019

Surinder Kaur

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Maneet Kumar Arya, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

In the present writ petition, the grievance which has been raised by the petitioner is that the benefits for which the petitioner is entitled for after the death of her husband, who unfortunately died on 02.11.2017, are not being released by the respondents without any valid justification.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that initially respondent No.5 in the present writ petition, was claiming herself to be wife of late Sh.Gurnam Singh for which she filed even a civil suit, which was withdrawn by her.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further states that as per the stand of the police department, the petitioner is only the legally wedded wife of Sh. Gurnam Singh, who is entitled for the benefits.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further states that for the relief which has been claimed in the present writ petition, the petitioner has served upon the respondents with a representation which is given in January, 2019 (Annexure P-12) and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide

the said representation in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioner for the relief which has been claimed by her in the representation Annexure P-12, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide the the representation Annexure P-12 within a period of three months from the receipt of certified copy of this order.

March 08, 2019

harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes
Whether reportable:	No