

**In the High Court for the States of Punjab and Haryana  
at Chandigarh**

205

**CRM-M No.14279 of 2018  
Date of Decision:09.04.2019**

(I)

Achin Aggarwal

....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

....Respondent

(II)

CRM-M No.30996 of 2018

Geeta Aggarwal and another

.....Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

(III)

CRM-M-32761 of 2018 (O&M)

Arun Kumar

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL**

**Present:** Ms. Deepshikha Chauhan Advocate  
for the petitioners in CRM-M-14279 of 2018

Mr. Keshav Pratap Singh, Advocate  
for the petitioner in CRM-M No.30996 of 2018

Mr. Pratham Sethi, Advocate  
for the petitioner in CRM-M-32761 of 2018 &  
for complainant in CRM-M-14279 of 2018 &  
in CRM-M No.30996 of 2018

Mr. Randhir Singh Thind, DAG, Haryana

\*\*\*\*\*

**GURVINDER SINGH GILL.J. (Oral)**

This order shall dispose of the aforementioned three petitions, two

of which have been filed on behalf of Achin Aggarwal and Geeta Aggarwal

seeking anticipatory bail and third petition has been filed on behalf of the complainant-Arun Kumar seeking cancellation of bail.

The allegations, in nut-shell, are to the effect that the petitioners were to supply TMT Bars (iron bars) in return for the raw material supplied by the complainant, but despite having received the raw-material to the tune of Rs.4 crores the accused did not supply the TMT Bars as had been agreed amongst them.

During the course of pendency of the aforesaid petitions, the parties were referred to Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court which has yielded results. As per settlement agreement dated 28.11.2018, the parties have amicably resolved their dispute. As per settlement, the accused are supposed to pay an amount of Rs.1,90,00,000/-( Rs. One Crore and Ninety lacs) till February, 2020.

The aforesaid position is not disputed by learned counsel for the complainant, who has however expressed certain apprehensions to the effect that the petitioners after getting bail may not honour the terms of the compromise in letter and spirit.

Having heard, the learned counsel for the parties and in view of the fact that the matter has been amicably resolved before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court vide settlement dated 28.11.2018, this Court deems it appropriate to confirm the interim directions issued by this Court, so as to enable the parties duly honour the terms of the compromise. Interim directions issued vide order dated 23.04.2018 in CRM-M-14279 of 2018 and

vide order dated 24.07.2018 in CRM-M-30996 of 2018 are hereby made absolute. However, in case the petitioners do not honour the terms of the compromise it shall be open to the complainant to move an application for cancellation of the bail before this Court.

The petition bearing No. CRM-M-32761 of 2018 filed on behalf of the complainant seeking cancellation of interim bail is disposed of in view of the aforesaid observations with liberty to the complainant to move for cancellation of the bail in case terms of the compromise are not complied with.

09.04.2019  
Ali

(Gurvinder Singh Gill)  
Judge

|                           |        |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| Whether Reportable        | Yes/No |