

COCP No. 932 of 2019
DECIDED ON: JULY 16, 2019

MAJOR SINGH AND OTHERS

.....PETITIONERS

VERSUS

RAM PARKASH

.....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN.

Present: Mr. Ashok Kumar Khunger, Advocate
for the petitioners.Mr. Varun Jain, Advocate for
Mr. N.K. Banka, Advocate
for the respondent.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (ORAL)

The present contempt petition has been filed pleading willful disobedience of the order dated 01.06.2018 passed by this Court in CWP No. 14892 of 2018 and rectified vide order dated 23.07.2018. The operational part of the order is reproduced below:-

“3. Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case but considering the afore-said aspects, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 3 to consider the case of the petitioners set out in the legal notice dated 30.08.2016 (P-7) and to decide the same in accordance with law, rules, regulations and instructions issued by the Government from time to time, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

4. However, if petitioners still feel aggrieved by any of the orders passed by the aforesaid authority, they shall be at liberty to have recourse to other remedies available under law including to approach this Court.”

Learned counsel for the respondent submits that the order of this Court has been complied with, a copy of order dated 11.07.2019 passed in compliance of order dated 01.06.2018 is produced, the same is taken on record.

In view of above, no cause of action survives in the present contempt petition.

Disposed of as infructuous.

Rule issued against the respondent stands discharged.

Needless to say that petitioner would be at liberty to avail remedies in accordance with law in case he is still aggrieved of the order dated 11.07.2019.

JULY 16, 2019
sham

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>