

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-14082-2018 (O&M)

Date of decision: 19.08.2019

Gurnam Singh and another

... Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. Jagmohan Ghumman, DAG, Punjab.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition is for issuance of directions to respondents No.1 to 4 to protect lives and liberty of the petitioners at the instance of respondent No.5, who is sitting MLA.

As per averments made in the petition, petitioners No.3 to 5 have filed CWP-7268-2018, praying for protection of their rights, as the District Administration have demolished their houses.

On 17.04.2018, this Court in writ petition has directed that status quo, as it exists today, be maintained till the next date of hearing. It is further stated that District Administration is acting according to the wishes of local MLA-respondent No.5 and the houses of the petitioners were demolished illegally.

Short reply by way of affidavit of Deputy Superintendent of Police, Kalanaur dated 10.05.2018 is on record, in which it is stated that some persons have raised illegal possession (construction) on the property of a temple near Bus Stand Kalanaur and finding the illegal possession, in order to

remove the same, District Magistrate, vide order dated 07.03.2018, had deputed the Tehsildar, Kalanaur and the DSP/SHO, Kalanaur was directed to provide adequate security to the Tehsildar. It is further stated that the aforesaid order dated 07.03.2018 passed by SDM, Kalanaur was challenged by the petitioners in the aforesaid CWP-7268-2018. In the reply, it is denied that respondent No.5 has ever influenced the officials of District Administration in any manner, as the SDM has passed the order dated 07.03.2018 to remove the illegal construction over the temple property.

Since the matter is sub-judice in the aforesaid writ petition filed by the petitioners, no further action is called for in the present petition and the same is rendered as infructuous.

[ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN]
JUDGE

19.08.2019
vishnu

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No