

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(116-6)

CWP-5166-2019

Date of Decision: February 26, 2019

Mahinder Ram

..Petitioner

Versus

Punjab State Power Corporation Limited/PSPCL and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Saravpreet S. Gurna, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

In the present writ petition, the petitioner is challenging the order dated 27.08.2018 (Annexure P-6) by which claim of the petitioner for the grant of 3rd time bound promotional increment on completion of 23 years' service has been rejected.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that though the claim of the petitioner was rejected on 27th August, 2018 but respondents have not taken into consideration a Clarification in respect of the grant of the said benefit issued on 21.08.2018. Therefore, in view of the Clarification, the case of the petitioner is squarely covered in his favour for the grant of the said benefit. Learned counsel further states that a bunch of writ petitions, wherein also the same prayer was made i.e. for the grant of promotional increment after completing 23 years of service, came for consideration before the Co-ordinate Bench and the said bunch of writ petitions was decided on 29.11.2018. The order was passed in **CWP No. 1013 of 2017 titled as 'Balvir Singh Vs. PSPCL and another'** and other connected cases.

Learned counsel for the petitioner prays that ignoring the rejection of the case of the petitioner on 27.08.2018 (Annexure P-6) and in

and in view of the Resolution passed by the respondent- Corporation in August 2018 as well as the order passed by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court in **Balvir Singh's case (supra), CWP No. 1013 of 2017**, the case of the petitioner needs to be re-considered.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Ms. Deepali Puri Sandhu, Addl. A.G., Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-PSPCL and states that the respondent-Corporation will consider the case of the petitioner afresh in view of the subsequent event and will pass a fresh order in respect of the claim of the petitioner for the grant of promotional increment after rendering 23 years of service.

Learned counsel for the parties are agreed that the present writ petition can be disposed in terms of order passed in **Balvir Singh's case (supra), CWP No. 1013 of 2017**.

Keeping in view the prayer made by the parties, the present writ petition is also disposed of in terms of order passed by a Co-ordinate Bench in **Balvir Singh's case (supra), CWP No. 1013 of 2017**.

February 26, 2019
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No