

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

(113)

CWP-5135-2019

Date of Decision: February 26, 2019

Hardev Singh

.. Petitioner

Versus

Punjab Agricultural University, Ludhiana and ors .. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Ms. Mannat Anand, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the case of the petitioner is covered by the decision of this Court in CWP No.9002 of 2016, decided on 01.11.2018 wherein also, similarly situated person, who had less than three years service, was allowed the benefit of changing option for the pension scheme.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that after the judgment was rendered, the petitioner had submitted a representation again on 31.12.2018 for the grant of same relief as extended by this Court to the petitioner in CWP No.9002 of 2016 as well as to the other personnel, to whom the said benefit was extended by the University on its own.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further states that the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the said representation dated 31.12.2018 (Annexure P-6) by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioner for the relief which has been claimed by him in

the representation dated 31.12.2018 (Annexure P-6), the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide the representation dated 31.12.2018 (Annexure P-6) within a period of three months from the receipt of certified copy of this order.

February 26, 2019
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes
Whether reportable:	No