

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-9538 of 2019
Date of Decision:22.05.2019

Sukhdev Singh
Versus
State of Haryana

....Petitioner
....Respondent

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Satbir Singh Gill, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Yashwinder Singh, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Daljit Singh Virk, Advocate
for the complainant.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.(Oral)

Present petition has been filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure (Cr.P.C.) seeking bail pending trial in case FIR No.199 dated 05.08.2018, under Sections 147, 149, 323, 324, 341 and 326 of Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Ellenabad, District Sirsa.

On 02.05.2019, the following order was passed by this Court:

Mr. Daljit Singh Virk, Advocate has filed his power of attorney on behalf of the complainant in the Court today. The same is taken on record.

It is contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that the mater has been compromised between the parties being

closely related to each other.

The factum of compromise is acknowledged by learned counsel representing the complainant, but he states that the settlement is to be reduced into writing.

In view of the above, the petitioner is ordered to be released on interim bail w.e.f. 03.05.2019 to 23.05.2019 on his furnishing adequate bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

Adjourned to 22.05.2019, for further consideration.

Today, during the course of hearing, the factum of compromise has been acknowledged by learned counsel for the parties.

Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the paper-book.

Keeping in view the fact that the matter has been compromised between the parties and report under Section 173 Cr.P.C. has already been submitted on 01.03.2019 before the Court of competent jurisdiction and charges are yet to be framed, the case is pending for 10.06.2019, thus the trial is likely to take a long time to be concluded, as such no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner behind the bars any more.

Therefore, in view of the abovesaid circumstances, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this petition is accepted. Petitioner-Sukhdev Singh be admitted to bail on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the

learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

However, it is made clear that the disposal of the present petition may not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merit of the case pending before learned trial Court.

[MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU]
JUDGE

May 22, 2019
rashmi

Whether speaking/reasoned	no
Whether reportable?	no