

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

214)

Crl. Misc. No.M-8538 of 2019 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 24.10.2019

Manju Garg and another

...Petitioner

Versus

U.T. Chandigarh

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. Suvir Sidhu, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

On the last date of hearing learned Addl. P.P. U.T., Chandigarh, had submitted (with counsel for the petitioner not present on that date) that a compromise had been almost reached between the parties and was at the stage of finalization as per her instructions from Sub-Inspector Krishan Kumar, who was present on that date.

Today learned counsel appearing for the petitioners has produced in court what is stated to be a compromise deed between the petitioners and the complainant in the FIR, i.e. Deepak Garg, who is also stated to be present in court, identified by Sub-Inspector Krishan Kumar of the Economic Offences Wing, Chandigarh Police.

The complainant also does not dispute the factum of the compromise, but submits that it is subject to certain conditions.

That being so, this petition is allowed, with the order dated

February 26, 2019, admitting the petitioner to interim bail, made absolute on the same terms and conditions, but with liberty to the complainant to move an appropriate application in case of default as regards the terms of the compromise.

24.10.2019
vcgarg

(Amol Rattan Singh)
Judge

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes
Whether reportable: No