

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-PIL-No. 45 of 2019 (O&M)
Date of decision: 27.02.2019**

Maman Chand Sharma

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryan and others

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KRISHNA MURARI,
CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI, JUDGE**

Present: Mr. Ganesh Kumar Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

KRISHNA MURARI, CHIEF JUSTICE (Oral)

The petitioner claiming to be a public spirited person has approached this Court in Public Interest Litigation alleging that the building plan for construction of the District Consumer Disputes Redressal Forum, Bhiwani, outside the premises of Mini Secretariat has been got prepared on fake site plan and the land has been illegally obtained and therefore, the same has frustrated the mission and object of the Government of India to provide cheap and easily accessible justice under one roof.

It is an admitted fact that the construction of the District Consumer Disputes Redressal Forum, Bhiwani, is already complete and the Forum is functioning therein. Various other prayers have been made such as to refer the matter for investigation by CBI and to take action against respondents No.1 to 4, who did not restrain respondent No.5 from committing illegal action against respondents No. 6 to 8, but we do not find it relevant to go into much details. Once the construction has already been completed and the Commission is functional in the same, we see no reason to entertain the petition at such a belated stage.

CWP-PILNo. 45 of 2019 (O&M)

The petition filed is absolutely frivolous and in the name of public interest, the public time of this Court is being wasted and if the petitioner succeeds in what he intends that would result into further grave financial loss to the public exchequer of the amount which has been spent in construction of the said building. If at all he had any genuine claim, he ought to have approached the Court within time before the construction started. There is no explanation as to why he slept over it and had woken up after the entire construction is over.

The petition being frivolous and not actually in the public interest, we intended to dismiss the same with exemplary costs of Rs.50,000/-, for wasting the judicial time of this Court. However, upon request and persuasion of the learned counsel, we refrain from imposing the costs. However, the petition being devoid of merit is dismissed.

(KRISHNA MURARI)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

February 27, 2019
ravinder/AK Sharma

Whether speaking / reasoned: YES
Whether Reportable: NO