

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

206

C.R.M-M No.8176-2019

Date of Decision : 25.3.2019

Amrik Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Tarunveer Vashist, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Amarjit Kaur Khurana, DAG, Punjab.

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This is a petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.138 dated 31.12.2018 registered under Sections 379B, 186, 332, 353, 427, 307, 148 read with 149 and 120-B IPC, 1860 and Section 136 of Representation of People Act, 1951, Sections 25-54/59 Arms Act, 1959 (added later on) registered at Police Station Khanauri, Sangrur.

On 25.2.2019, the following order was passed :-

*“Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the facts of the present case are covered by the decision of this Court in the matter of **Makhan Singh Vs. State of Punjab**, passed in **CRM-M-6025 of 2019**, decided on 15.02.2019.*

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Ms.Amarjit Kaur Khurana, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the

respondent-State.

Learned counsel for the petitioner undertakes to supply a copy of the petition to the learned State counsel during the course of the day.

Adjourned to 25.03.2019.

The petitioner is directed to appear before the Investigating Officer on 06.03.2019 and on any other date as and when his presence is required. In the event of arrest of the petitioner, he shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Investigating Officer subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.”

Today counsel for the petitioner has stated that the petitioner has joined the investigation.

Learned DAG on instructions from ASI Satnam has accepted these factual assertions and stated that he is no more required for custodial interrogation.

In the circumstances, keeping in view the entire conspectus of facts, without going into the merits of the case, I do not deem it appropriate to deny the concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Petition is allowed. Interim order dated 25.2.2019 is made absolute.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending criminal miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

25.3.2019
anuradha

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	-	Yes/No
Whether reportable	-	Yes/No