

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 4784 of 2019

Date of Decision: July 03, 2019

Amit Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: Mr. Ashok Bhardwaj, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Palika Monga, DAG, Haryana,
for the State.

AMIT RAWAL, J.

It is a classical case where the petitioner has sought the indulgence of this Court for availing the chance for Physical Screening Test as on the date of the aforementioned test, he was not physically fit.

It is alleged that vide advertisement dated 16.04.2018 (Annexure P-1), online applications for recruitment of 5000 posts of Male Constables (General Duty), out of which 2300 posts meant for general category, were invited. The petitioner having the requisite academic qualification, applied for the said post. The written examination was conducted on 23.12.2018 and was issued admit card dated 03.02.2019 for the purpose of Physical Screening Test. The aforementioned test comprised of 2.5 kms. race, which was to be completed within 12 minutes. Since the petitioner was having backache on 15.02.2019, i.e., the date of test, could not complete 2.5 kms race within the prescribed time. Representation in this

regard has been submitted, but no action has been taken and the result published was “not found eligible” and has, thus, sought the aforementioned prayer as he is now physically fit person being a Kabaddi player.

I am afraid, the aforementioned argument would not be sustainable, for the simple reason that the writ petition is bereft of any medical record and the alleged reason of backache and non-completion of the aforementioned race within the prescribed time, would not entitle the petitioner another chance. The petitioner was aware of the aforementioned test and the consequential Physical Screening Test and should have kept himself fit for such purpose. The prayer as sought would result into putting the clock back, which is not permissible. The argument of the learned counsel is not able to bring the case within the realm of judicial review.

For the reasons mentioned above, no ground for interference is made out. Resultantly, the writ petition is dismissed with costs of ₹20,000/-.

July 03, 2019
ramesh

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No