

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM M-13291 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision: November 05, 2019**

Saroj Rani		Petitioner
	Versus	
State of Haryana		 Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

Present : Mr. Jagdish Manchanda, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Baljinder Virk, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Kamal Mor, Advocate
for the complainant.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J. (Oral)

The allegations against accused/petitioner Saroj Rani levelled in this first anticipatory bail application filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. in FIR No. 1283 dated 16.12.2017 under Sections 120-B, 307, 323, 324, 326-B, 406, 498-A, 506 and 511 IPC registered at Police Station City Panipat, District Panipat have come about by complainant Sushma, daughter-in-law of the petitioner.

The precise allegations are to the effect that

marriage between the complainant and Aditya Mehla was solemnized on 18.01.2017, where sufficient dowry articles as per the desires and wishes of the groom side were given and, approximately, more than 50,00,000/- were spent at the same. During the course of matrimony, a dispute has arisen between the parties and the husband migrated to Australia and it is, thereafter, the present case was got registered.

Mr. Jagdish Manchanda, learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that the parties have settled their dispute and that nothing is to be recovered from the petitioner as on date.

On behalf of the complainant Mr. Kamal Mor assisting the learned State counsel Mr. Baljinder Virk, DAG, Haryana assisted by ASI Satish though does not displace the facts that have been brought to the notice of this Court but with all fairness submits that the parties have effected a compromise.

Moreover, complainant Sushma, who has been identified by her counsel Mr. Kamal Mor made statement before this Court that since she has settled the dispute, she does not object, if the present application is allowed. In the light of the same, the present petition is allowed. In the event of arrest, the petitioner be released on *interim* bail to the satisfaction of

Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall, however, join the investigation as and when called for to do so and she shall abide by the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. Thereafter, will be released on regular bail upon presentation of challan.

Disposed of.

November 05, 2019

amit rana

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)

JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No