

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-5363-2019

Date of decision: 1.3.2019

Cherry Laurel Pre School

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Jagjot Singh, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Vikrant Pamboo, DAG, Haryana for respondent No.1.

Mr. Deepak Manchanda, Advocate for respondent Nos. 2 to 4

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that he has instructions to make a statement that he will vacate the premises and will not operate from there, in case the premises are desealed and he is allowed to remove the fixtures and material from the premises.

Learned counsel for respondent Nos. 2 to 4 on instructions states that he is not averse to such arrangement.

In this view of the matter, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent Nos. 2 to 4 to desal the premises, to enable the petitioner to remove the fixtures and material put by it. However, in case, after desealing the operation of the school by the petitioner is continued, the respondents will have the liberty to act immediately as per law.

1.3.2019

gsv

**[JITENDRA CHAUHAN]
JUDGE**

Whether speaking / reasoned?

Yes / No

Whether reportable?

Yes / No