

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Date of Decision: 08.07.2019

1) Crl. Misc. No.M-8078 of 2019(O&M)

Angrej Singh ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab ...Respondent

2) Crl. Misc. No.M-8163 of 2019(O&M)

Angrej Singh ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. Vipul Jindal, Advocate, for the petitioner
(in both the petitions).

Mr. Rajat Bansal, AAG, Punjab

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

A separate reply by way of an affidavit of Sh. Harish Behl, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub-Division Patti, District Tarn Taran, has been filed by the learned State counsel today in each of these two petitions, stating that as per the enquiry/investigation conducted so far, the petitioner, Angrej Singh, as also his son, Paramjit Singh, had colluded with the bank manager (Harbir Singh) to the extent that they had shown their house to be that of Pargat Singh and Daya Singh in order to enable Pargat Singh and Daya Singh to obtain loans.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is 73 years of age and is unlikely to indulge in such kind of activities at that age. He also points to the photographs of the petitioner annexed as Annexure P-3 with the petition, in order to show the age of the petitioner.

Keeping the aforesaid fact in view, without making any comment on the actual merits of the case, the interim orders dated 26.02.2019 passed in both the petitions, granting interim bail to the petitioner, are made absolute on the same terms and conditions.

The petition are disposed of accordingly.

08.07.2019
vcgarg

(Amol Rattan Singh)
Judge

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes
Whether reportable: no