

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-8156-2019

Date of decision: 29.04.2019

Govind

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. K.D.S. Hooda, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Naveen Sheoran, DAG, Haryana.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.29 dated 06.01.2019 under Sections 420, 467, 468, 472, 120-B IPC, registered at Police Station Chandni Bagh, Panipat, District Panipat.

While granting interim bail to the petitioner, following order was passed by this Court on 22.02.2019 :-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that initially, the FIR was registered on the complaint of Excise and Taxation Officer, Panipat against one Balvinder Singh and thereafter, the police, during the investigation, arrested one Dinesh Bansal. It is further submitted that when the police made a request for remand of the said accused, nothing has come on record to nominate the petitioner in the present FIR and relies upon the remand papers

(Annexures P-3 to P-5). Learned counsel further submits that the police wants to arrest the petitioner on the basis of disclosure statement made by aforesaid Dinesh Bansal that he along with his colleagues Sandeep and present petitioner Govind, has created other fake company. It is also submitted that the petitioner is ready to join the investigation and to furnish all the details about M/s Shri Balaji Enterprises to the Investigating Officer.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in pursuance of the aforesaid order, petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for any further investigation.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from Inspector Ramjeet Singh, has not disputed the factual position and states that the petitioner is no more required for any further investigation.

In view of the above, this petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 22.02.2019 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

[ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN]
JUDGE

29.04.2019
vishnu

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No