

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-12885-2018

Date of decision: March 01, 2019

Kurban Mattu @ Telu

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & anr.

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI

Present: Mr. Narinder S. Lucky, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramdeep Partap Singh, DAG, Punjab.

RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI, J.(ORAL)

Petitioner has preferred the instant petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C., for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.162 dated 31.12.2017, registered under Sections 363, 366-A of the Indian Penal Code at Police Station City, District Hoshiarpur.

This court has gone through the statement of the prosecutrix under Section 164 Cr.P.C. wherein she has stated that she is residing with the petitioner with her own consent. Even, she has married with him and both are residing happily as husband and wife.

Learned State counsel has instructions from ASI Jagdish, has stated that petitioner has joined the investigation; nothing is to be recovered from him and he is no more required for custodial interrogation.

In the circumstances, without going into the merits of the case, I do not deem it appropriate to deny the concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in the present case. Ordered accordingly.

Resultantly, the interim order dated 28.03.2018, is made absolute subject to the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Petition stands allowed.

(RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI)
JUDGE

March 01, 2019
m. sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No