

**115 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-6386-2019

Date of decision-28.03.2019

Santosh Thakkar

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Harpal Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Mohan Gupta, Addl.A.G., Punjab

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to hold an enquiry against the erring officials of the respondent-department and to decide the legal notice/representation dated 15.12.2018 (Annexure P-15).

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage, he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.2-The Director, Department of Local Government, Punjab, Sector-35, Chandigarh to consider and decide the legal notice/representation dated 15.12.2018 (Annexure P-15) expeditiously.

Heard.

A complete set of paper book has been handed over to Mr. Vikas Mohan Gupta, Addl.A.G., Punjab in the Court today.

In view of the above, without advertng to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-

The Director, Department of Local Government, Punjab, Sector-35, Chandigarh to consider and decide the legal notice/representation dated 15.12.2018 (Annexure P-15) within six weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the judgment. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the request made by the petitioner is admissible to her, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to her, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the request made by the petitioner is not admissible, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

28.03.2019*ps-I***(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned :

Yes

No

Whether Reportable :

Yes

No