

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-4991-2019

Date of decision: 11.3.2019

Dharam Raj

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr.CS Jattana, Advocate for the petitioner

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

This writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India has been filed for issuance of direction to respondent Nos. 1 to 5 to take legal action against respondent No.6 for misusing the residential house as factory without any sanction or permission from respondent Nos. 4 and 5.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage, he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.4 – Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Ludhiana to consider and decide the legal notice dated 29.1.2019 (Annexure P-8) expeditiously.

Heard.

A complete set of paper book has been handed over to Mr.Navdeep Chhabra, DAG, Punjab, in the Court today.

In view of the above, without advertng to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.4 – Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Ludhiana to consider and decide

the legal notice dated 29.1.2019 (Annexure P-8) within six weeks from the date of receipt of the certified copy of the judgment. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to him, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to him, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

11.3.2019
gsv

[JITENDRA CHAUHAN]
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned?

Yes / No

Whether reportable?

Yes / No