

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-11659 of 2017

DATE OF DECISION:01.04.2019

Amandeep Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

BEFORE:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Madhur Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Bhavna Gupta, DAG, Punjab.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

Learned State counsel submits that inspite of two last opportunities granted on 14.12.2018 and 12.2.2019 still the petitioner has not joined the investigation.

Vide order dated 12.2.2019, it was clearly mentioned that in case the petitioner did not join investigation on 20.2.2019 at 11.00 am. Accordingly, the interim order passed in his favour stands vacated.

Learned counsel for respondent-State submits that 22 more cases of similar nature are pending against the petitioner.

Since inspite of grant of two last opportunities, the petitioner has not joined the investigation and 22 more cases of similar nature are pending against the petitioner, the petitioner does not deserve the concession of bail. The present petition being devoid of any merit is hereby dismissed.

April 01, 2019
pooja

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
No