

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

207

FAO-183-1991

Date of Decision : 12.09.2019

Krishnawanti

.... Appellant

Versus

Harjit Singh and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN

Present: Ms. Manpreet Ghuman, Advocate for
Mr. Prateek Mahajan, Advocate
for the appellant.

Mr.H.S.Rakhra, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

Mr.Abhishek Goyal, Advocate for
Mr. Pardeep Goyal, Advocate
for respondent No.3.

RAMENDRA JAIN, J. (ORAL)

On the previous date, learned counsel for the appellant contended that the appellant was not responding to his communication. Thus, notice of the appeal was issued directly to the appellant for today.

As per office report, notice sent to the appellant has been received back with the report that he has left the given address whereas respondent No.1 could not be served as he has left the job with respondent No.2.

In view of the above report, the instant appeal is dismissed for want of prosecution.

September 12, 2019
anju

(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE

**Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable**

**Yes/No
Yes/No**