

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.7817 of 2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 14.08.2019**

Nathu Ram and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present:- None for the petitioners.

A.S.I. Virender Singh for the respondent/State.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J. (Oral)

Present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners in case FIR No.599 dated 15.09.2018, under Sections 419, 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B, 406 and 506 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Samalkha, District Panipat.

This Court, while issuing notice of motion on 21.02.2019 in the main case, passed the following order:-

“ The contention of the learned senior counsel is that Ram Piari, who allegedly impersonated Har Kaur has already been granted interim bail by this Court. Further, the custodial interrogation of the petitioners is not necessary as the dispute is of civil nature and the relevant evidence is documentary in nature and is already available with the investigating agency.

Notice of motion for 1.4.2019.

To be heard along with Crl.Misc.No.M-1192 of 2019.

In the meantime, the petitioners are directed to join investigation with the Investigating Officer on 1.3.2019 at 10:00 A.M. and cooperate therewith. In the event of their arrest, they shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Investigating Officer/SHO concerned subject to their compliance of the conditions enshrined under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. ”

Today, A.S.I. Virender Singh, who is present in the Court, submits that petitioners have joined the investigation in terms of order dated 21.02.2019 and their custodial interrogation is not required.

In view of above, interim order dated 21.02.2019 is made absolute subject to the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. and the present petition stands disposed off.

It is made clear that the petitioners shall fully co-operate with the Investigating Officer as and when called for further investigation.

The observations may not be considered as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

August 14, 2019

Gagan

**(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE**

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>