

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

.....

Criminal Misc. No.M-7762 of 2019

.....

Date of decision:21.02.2019

Satnam Singh alias Sukhi

...Petitioner

v.

State of Punjab

...Respondent

....

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Inderjit Singh

.....

Present: Mr. Navratan Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

.....

Inderjit Singh, J.

The petitioner has filed this petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.118 dated 19.7.2018 registered for the offences under Sections 452, 307, 148 and 149 IPC and (Section 302 IPC, which was added later on) at Police Station Division No.2, District Ludhiana.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and have gone through the record.

From the record, I find that the present petitioner is not named in the FIR, but he has been nominated on the basis of disclosure statement of the co-accused in which the allegation against the petitioner is that he in conspiracy with co-accused planned the murder of Rinkle. His role had come during the disclosure statement of the co-accused as to he having

[2]

engaged them as contract killers. Otherwise, also learned counsel for the present petitioner has admitted that the petitioner has been declared as proclaimed offender.

Keeping in view the nature and gravity of the offences and the fact that the petitioner is required for custodial interrogation, I do not find it a fit case where the petitioner is entitled for the grant of anticipatory bail.

Therefore, finding no merit in this petition, the same is dismissed.

February 21, 2019.

(Inderjit Singh)
Judge

hsp

NOTE:	Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes
	Whether reportable:	No