

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No. 11884 of 2018

Date of decision : 06.03.2019

Jai Pal

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Rakesh Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. R. S. Doon, AAG, Haryana.

Dr. Naresh Kaushik, Advocate for the complainant.

* * *

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is still ready to execute the sale deed in favour of the complainant and a legal notice has already been sent by him to the complainant in this regard. It is only the complainant who is not ready to purchase the land. Learned counsel also submits that the petitioner has joined investigation and this fact has also been affirmed by learned State counsel on instructions from SI Rajesh Kumar.

On the other hand, learned counsel for the complainant submits that the complainant has been cheated by the petitioner. However, learned counsel for the complainant has not disputed the fact that the petitioner is ready to execute the sale deed, but he submits that there is difference in the sale price.

Since the petitioner has joined investigation and the allegations are matter of evidence, which shall be tested by the trial Court during the course of trial, interim order dated 30.04.2018 passed by this Court in favour of the petitioner is made absolute.

Disposed of.

06.03.2019

sunil yadav

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No