

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

115

**CWP No.4820 of 2019
Date of Decision: 23.10.2019**

Subedar Azad SinghPetitioner
Versus
State of Haryana and othersRespondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR TYAGI**

Present : Mr. Sanjeev Kodan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. P.P. Chahar, D.A.G., Haryana.

RAKESH KUMAR JAIN, J. (ORAL)

After hearing counsel for the parties, we are of the considered opinion that the grievance made by the petitioner can be redressed by resorting to provisions of Section 42 of the East Punjab Holdings (Consolidation and Prevention of Fragmentation) Act, 1948 (for short, “the Act”).

Accordingly, while disposing of this petition as not maintainable, liberty is granted to the petitioner to file an appropriate application, if so advised, under Section 42 of the Act. If any such application is filed within a period of one month from the date of passing of this order, the competent authority before whom the petition is to be filed shall decide the same as early as possible but preferably within a period of six months.

**(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN)
JUDGE**

**(ARUN KUMAR TYAGI)
JUDGE**

23.10.2019

Vinay

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No