

228.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-8921-2019

Date of decision:05.03.2019.

AJAY KUMAR

... Petitioner

versus

STATE OF HARYANA

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present: Mr.Ajay Bhardwaj, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.165 dated 25.05.2018 registered under Sections 323, 365, 376-D, 120-B of IPC and Sections 6 & 17 of POCSO Act, at Police Station Taraori, Karnal.

Counsel for the petitioner states that the prosecutrix has not named the petitioner and it is on the basis of the statement made by the co-accused, namely, Pankaj, the petitioner has been arrayed as an accused. The statement made by the prosecutrix is not reliable, as at one stage, she had stated that she was taken to the factory of the Sarpanch, whereas at other stage, she had stated that she was taken to the kothi of the Sarpanch.

This Court has seen the statement of the prosecutrix wherein she has named the petitioner and identified him who was present in the Court before the trial Court. She had stated that the petitioner has committed

the offence against her along with other co-accused, namely, Surender, Pankaj and Dinesh.

The allegation being serious against the petitioner, no case for grant of regular bail is made out.

Dismissed.

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

05.03.2019
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No.
Yes/No.